

# FACEBOOK AD ANALYSIS

Amisha Gadhia

### AGENDA

Introduction

Domain knowledge

Data Analysis

**Research Articles** 

Summary



### INTRODUCTION

Digital marketing's evolution from a non-existent field to a money-making powerhouse. The social media advertising market size has grown rapidly. It will grow from \$198.78 billion in 2023 to \$226.73 billion in 2024 at a compound growth of 14.1%. Facebook advertising is a regular feature in many small businesses' marketing plans. There are 3.7 million businesses running ad campaigns through Facebook, spending a combined \$5.5 billion to reach potential customers using the social media platform.



# DOMAIN KNOWLEDGE

Every advertiser submitting their campaigns competes for a specific ad placement in front of their target audience.

Price isn't the only factor taken into consideration when deciding on an auction winner. To award the ad spot, Facebook determines an ad's total value—in other words, how much the target audience would like or engage with the ad.

### TERMINOLOGIES FOR COSTING OF AN AD

Term	Meaning
CPC	COST PER CLICK – on an average \$0.94 per Click
CPM	COST PER MILLE – Cost per 1000 impressions: On an Average \$12.07 per 1000 impressions.
CPL	Cost PER LEAD- Research pits the average cost per lead for a Facebook ad at \$5.83*
Conversion Rate	On an average the conversion rate is 9.21% for Facebook Ads.
CPC	COST PER CONVERSION - it is typically between \$0.25 and \$5.00. However, it can be as high as \$150 or more for some industries and target audiences.

### MECHANISM OF THE AD VALUATION

We rank ads based on a 'Total Value' for each ad





### THE BEST MARKETING DOESN'T FEEL LIKE MARKETING

Tom Fishburne

### DATA DICTIONARY AND PROCESSING

- Ad\_id: Unique ID for each ad.
- Campaign IDs: xyzcampaignid, fbcampaignid.
- Demographic details: Age, gender, interest.
- Ad metrics: Impressions, clicks, spent.
- Conversions: Total\_Conversion, Approved\_Conversion.

#### DATA COURTESY: www.Kaggle.com

# DATA VIZZ



### CORRELATION BETWEEN VARIABLES

# # Correlation between variables cor(df[,-c(1,2)])

		* <b>*</b>	T		Cuent
##		interest	Impressions	CIICKS	Spent
##	interest	1.00000000	0.1019733	0.08870606	0.07022597
##	Impressions	0.10197326	1.0000000	0.94851414	0.97038617
##	Clicks	0.08870606	0.9485141	1.00000000	0.99290634
##	Spent	0.07022597	0.9703862	0.99290634	1.00000000
##	Total_Conversion	0.12026967	0.8128376	0.69463235	0.72537945
##	Approved_Conversion	0.05835320	0.6842485	0.55952579	0.59317782
##		Total_Conve	ersion Approv	ved_Conversi	ion
##	interest	0.12	202697	0.0583	532
##	Impressions	0.81	128376	0.68424	485
##	Clicks	0.69	946324	0.55952	258
##	Spent	0.72	253794	0.59317	778
##	Total_Conversion	1.00	900000	0.86403	338
##	Approved_Conversion	0.86	540338	1.00000	900

### **REGRESSION MODELS**

# Model-1 : Approved\_Conversion ~ Impressions
mod1 <- lm(Approved\_Conversion ~ Impressions, data = df)
summary(mod1)</pre>

#### ##

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = Approved Conversion ~ Impressions, data = df)
##
## Residuals:
      Min
               10 Median
##
                                      Max
                               30
## -5.6645 -0.4463 -0.2425 0.6678 12.8558
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept) 0.2341098 0.0436790
                                      5.36 1.01e-07 ***
## Impressions 0.0038017 0.0001199 31.69 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1.268 on 1141 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.4682, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4677
## F-statistic: 1005 on 1 and 1141 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

# ModeL-2 : Approved\_Conversion ~ Clicks
mod2 <- lm(Approved\_Conversion ~ Clicks, data = df)
summary(mod2)</pre>

#### ##

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = Approved_Conversion ~ Clicks, data = df)
##
## Residuals:
      Min
               10 Median
                               3Q
                                      Max
## -6.6454 -0.4930 -0.3734 0.5668 17.1744
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept) 0.3733681 0.0494222 7.555 8.58e-14 ***
## Clicks
              0.0170900 0.0007494 22.804 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1.441 on 1141 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3131, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3125
## F-statistic: 520 on 1 and 1141 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

### **BAISED RESULTS**

#### # Multilinear model

mod4 <- lm(Approved\_Conversion ~ Impressions + Clicks, data = df)
summary(mod4)</pre>

#### ##

## Call: ## lm(formula = Approved\_Conversion ~ Impressions + Clicks, data = df) ## ## Residuals: 10 Median ## Min 3Q Max ## -4.7316 -0.4351 -0.2704 0.6423 10.3954 ## ## Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## ## (Intercept) 0.2660397 0.0403477 6.594 6.55e-11 \*\*\* ## Impressions 0.0085029 0.0003493 24.344 < 2e-16 \*\*\* ## Clicks -0.0272472 0.0019201 -14.190 < 2e-16 \*\*\* ## ---## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## ## Residual standard error: 1.169 on 1140 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.548, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5472 ## F-statistic: 691.1 on 2 and 1140 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

### VIF TEST

vif(m	od5)			
##	Impressions	Clicks Total_Conversion	Clicks Total_Conversion	
##	18.345219	12.028234 3.556444	12.028234 3.556444	

(vif(mod6))

##	Impressions	Total_Conversion
##	2.947287	2.947287

### POISSON REGRESSION MODEL

mod1\_1 <- glm(Approved\_Conversion ~ Impressions, df, family = poisson)
summary(mod1\_1)</pre>

#### ## ## Call: ## glm(formula = Approved\_Conversion ~ Impressions, family = poisson, ## data = df## ## Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)## ## (Intercept) -5.349e-01 3.776e-02 -14.17 <2e-16 \*\*\* ## Impressions 1.474e-03 3.848e-05 38.31 <2e-16 \*\*\* ## ---## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## ## (Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1) ## Null deviance: 2199.3 on 1142 degrees of freedom ## ## Residual deviance: 1382.9 on 1141 degrees of freedom ## AIC: 2741.8 ## ## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

mod2\_1 <- glm(Approved\_Conversion ~ Clicks, df, family = poisson)
summary(mod2\_1)</pre>

#### ##

```
## Call:
## glm(formula = Approved Conversion ~ Clicks, family = poisson,
       data = df)
##
##
## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept) -0.5378170 0.0395466 -13.60 <2e-16 ***
## Clicks
               0.0085331 0.0002746 31.08 <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)
##
      Null deviance: 2199.3 on 1142 degrees of freedom
##
## Residual deviance: 1542.6 on 1141 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 2901.5
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
```

### POISSON MULTIPLE REGRESSION MODEL

```
mod3_1 <- glm(Approved_Conversion ~ Clicks + Impressions, df, family = poisson)
summary(mod3_1)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = Approved_Conversion ~ Clicks + Impressions, family = poisson,
      data = df)
##
##
## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept) -0.5367098 0.0393924 -13.625
                                              <2e-16 ***
## Clicks
               0.0001214 0.0007500
                                      0.162
                                               0.871
## Impressions 0.0014582 0.0001068 13.653
                                              <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)
##
      Null deviance: 2199.3 on 1142 degrees of freedom
##
## Residual deviance: 1382.9 on 1140 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 2743.8
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
```



### SPENDING ANALYSIS



df\$Impressions

Call: lm(formula = Spent ~ Impressions, data = df)

#### Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-201.900	-4.153	-1.220	1.849	155.524

#### Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 1.009289 0.723611 1.395 0.163 Impressions 0.269645 0.001987 135.695 <2e-16 \*\*\* ---Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 21 on 1141 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9416, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9416 F-statistic: 1.841e+04 on 1 and 1141 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

### SPENDING ANALYSIS

mod10 <- lm(Spent ~ Approved\_Conversion + Impressions + Clicks, df)
summary(mod10)</pre>

#### ##

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = Spent ~ Approved Conversion + Impressions + Clicks,
       data = df)
##
##
## Residuals:
       Min
                10 Median
                                      Max
                               3Q
## -37.243 -1.068
                            1.053 60.162
                    0.044
##
## Coefficients:
                       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept)
                      -0.103050
                                  0.235007 -0.438
                                                      0.661
## Approved Conversion -0.680407 0.169310 -4.019 6.24e-05 ***
## Impressions
                       0.085004
                                  0.002462 34.533 < 2e-16 ***
## Clicks
                       1.085138 0.011907 91.138 < 2e-16 ***
## ___
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 6.684 on 1139 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9941, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9941
## F-statistic: 6.397e+04 on 3 and 1139 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

- Nastišin's paper explores CPC and CTR dynamics on Facebook and Instagram across industries and regions.
- It emphasizes their importance in budget planning and highlights differing CTRs.
   Importantly, it notes a trend where increased conversions lead to decreased ad spending.
- This finding aligns with my regression model's negative correlation between 'Approved\_Conversion' and 'Spent', reinforcing its theoretical basis.

### AREAS OF FOCUS

### **Casual Effects**

Relationship between impressions and clicks. Factors affecting impressions and clicks. Limitation of the dataset. Unreliable and biased regression results.

### Analyzing Spending on Ads

99% R Square with three independent variables affecting Amount Spent on Ads

Reliability of regression model and unbiased results.

Un-usual coefficients' in the model.

### **RESIDUALS VS FITTED**



- The residual plot displays a straight line, indicating a robust linear relationship between independent variables (Approved\_Conversion, Impressions, Clicks) and the dependent variable (Spent).
- The high R-squared value of 0.9941 demonstrates that the model effectively explains 99.41% of the variability in Spent, confirming its reliability and strong explanatory power for the dataset.

### HYPOTHESIS TEST

The hypothesis test rejects the null hypothesis, indicating a significant relationship between Spent, Approved\_Conversion, Impressions, and Clicks. High goodness of fit statistics, including R-squared and adjusted R-squared values of 0.9941, confirm the model's strong explanatory power and suitability for the data.

### GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT

While the analysis provides valuable insights into the relationship between advertising metrics and expenditure on Facebook, further research could explore additional factors that may influence advertising performance, such as ad content, targeting strategies, and audience demographics.

Additionally, incorporating data from other advertising platforms or conducting comparative analyses across multiple platforms could provide a more comprehensive understanding of digital advertising dynamics and enable more robust decision-making processes.

### APPLICABILITY AND DECISION IMPROVEMENT

The conclusions and prescriptions derived from the analysis apply to businesses and organizations engaged in digital marketing activities, particularly those utilizing Facebook advertising.

By implementing the insights gained from the analysis, advertisers and marketers can make more informed decisions regarding budget allocation and campaign optimization, leading to improved effectiveness and efficiency in achieving advertising objectives.

### SUMMARY

This research project explored Facebook ads analysis via multiple linear regression, aiming to reveal factors impacting ad performance. By analyzing conversions, impressions, clicks, and ad spending, it aimed to elucidate digital marketing dynamics using a Kaggle-sourced dataset. The findings, highlighted in the final model summary, demonstrate a strong linear relationship between independent variables and ad spending, with an R-squared value of 0.9941 indicating the model's high explanatory power. This study contributes valuable insights for advertisers to optimize digital ad strategies effectively.



# THANK YOU

Amisha Gadhia

amishagadhia09@gmail.com

11053894